003

004

PhenoGPT: Towards Language Interaction with Vision Models for Plant Phenotyping

001 002

Anonymous ECCV 2024 Submission 003

Paper ID #17

005 1 Introduction

Plant phenotyping greatly benefits from deep learning and computer vision. 006 006 which enable precise quantitative measurement of plant traits [6, 11, 14]. However, 007 007 interacting with vision models requires substantial computer science knowledge 008 008 (e.q. understanding coding and data processing), which increases the entry bar-009 009 rier for scientists lacking such knowledge. The advancement of large language 010 010 models (LLMs) [2, 12] has captured the attention of the natural science com-011 011 munity due to their strong capability in natural language understanding and 012 012 reasoning [8.9, 13]. Nevertheless, the ability of LLMs (incl. multi-modal LLMs) 013 013 to directly solve vision tasks (e.q.) image classification and instance segmenta-014 014 tion) remains questionable. 015 015

016To facilitate simple interaction with computational models while maintain-
ing accurate measurements for plant phenotyping, we present the prototype of
PhenoGPT. PhenoGPT leverages an LLM to invoke the most appropriate pre-
trained vision models to address plant tasks specified by free text.016

020 2 PhenoGPT

021We define our **application scenario** as follows: the user sends a free text con-
taining the specification of a computer vision task and the location of an image
to the LLM. The LLM will then identify the correct vision model(s) to solve the
task and return the results to the user.021
023

- 025**Prompt-engineered LLM.** We select GPT-3.5 Turbo as the base LLM. The025026key to enabling model calling is to set a system prompt that provides descriptions026027of the available models to the LLM and instructs it to output a JSON file based027028on the user prompt. The JSON file should contain the model name and the028029corresponding arguments needed to correctly invoke the model.029
- **Vision Models.** In this prototype, we provide the LLM access to two different030031vision models. The first is a Vision Transformer (ViT) [7] trained for cassava031032disease classification [1,3], and the second is a Mask2Former [5] trained for leaf032033instance segmentation [4,10].033
- 034Use Cases. In Fig. 1 (a) and (b), we show that PhenoGPT correctly performs034035leaf instance segmentation and disease classification. To illustrate the importance035036of using task specific vision models, we provided similar prompts directly to036037GPT-40. We observed in Fig. 1 (c) and (d) that GPT-40 failed to solve the leaf037

005

004

020

instance segmentation task correctly, and produced ambiguous results for leaf 038 038 disease classification (multiple possible diseases suggested). 039 039



(c) ChatGPT-4o for leaf instance segmentation

For a more accurate diagnosis, it would be best to consider the plant's overall growth environment, recent weather conditions, and any other symptoms not visible in the image. If you need more specific identification or confirmation, laboratory testing of

the plant tissue might be necessary. (d) ChatGPT-40 for leaf disease classification

Fig. 1: Response comparison between PhenoGPT and ChatGPT-40. (a)(c) Leaf instance segmentation. (b)(d) Leaf disease classification.

Conclusion. The prototype of PhenoGPT demonstrates the potential of com-040 040 bining LLMs with vision models to create a convenient natural language inter-041 041 action interface while maintaining high accuracy in plant trait measurement. 042 042 Our future work will focus on expanding the range of accessible vision models 043 043 to enhance usability and accuracy in various plant phenotyping tasks. 044 044

References 045

- 1. Bell, J., Dee, H.M.: Aberystwyth leaf evaluation dataset [data set] (2016), http: 046 046 //doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.168158 1 047 047
- 2. Brown, T.B., Mann, B., Ryder, N., Subbiah, M., Kaplan, J., Dhariwal, P., Nee-048 048 lakantan, A., Shyam, P., Sastry, G., Askell, A., Agarwal, S., Herbert-Voss, A., 049 049

045

- Krueger, G., Henighan, T., Child, R., Ramesh, A., Ziegler, D.M., Wu, J., Winter, C., Hesse, C., Chen, M., Sigler, E., Litwin, M., Gray, S., Chess, B., Clark,
 J., Berner, C., McCandlish, S., Radford, A., Sutskever, I., Amodei, D.: Language
 models are few-shot learners (2020), https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.14165 1
- 3. Chen, F., Giuffrida, M.V., Tsaftaris, S.A.: Adapting vision foundation models for
 plant phenotyping. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on
 Computer Vision. pp. 604–613 (2023) 1
- 4. Chen, F., Tsaftaris, S.A., Giuffrida, M.V.: Gmt: Guided mask transformer for leaf
 instance segmentation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.17109 (2024) 1
- 5. Cheng, B., Misra, I., Schwing, A.G., Kirillov, A., Girdhar, R.: Masked-attention
 mask transformer for universal image segmentation. In: Proceedings of the
 IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. pp. 1290–1299
 (2022) 1
- 6. Dobrescu, A., Valerio Giuffrida, M., Tsaftaris, S.A.: Leveraging multiple datasets
 for deep leaf counting. In: Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on
 computer vision workshops. pp. 2072–2079 (2017) 1
- Dosovitskiy, A., Beyer, L., Kolesnikov, A., Weissenborn, D., Zhai, X., Unterthiner,
 T., Dehghani, M., Minderer, M., Heigold, G., Gelly, S., et al.: An image is
 worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. arXiv preprint
 arXiv:2010.11929 (2020) 1
- 8. Luo, X., Rechardt, A., Sun, G., Nejad, K.K., Yáñez, F., Yilmaz, B., Lee, K., Cohen, A.O., Borghesani, V., Pashkov, A., Marinazzo, D., Nicholas, J., Salatiello, A., Sucholutsky, I., Minervini, P., Razavi, S., Rocca, R., Yusifov, E., Okalova, T., Gu, N., Ferianc, M., Khona, M., Patil, K.R., Lee, P.S., Mata, R., Myers, N.E., Bizley, J.K., Musslick, S., Bilgin, I.P., Niso, G., Ales, J.M., Gaebler, M., Murty, N.A.R., Loued-Khenissi, L., Behler, A., Hall, C.M., Dafflon, J., Bao, S.D., Love, B.C.: Large language models surpass human experts in predicting neuroscience results (2024), https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.03230 1
- 9. M. Bran, A., Cox, S., Schilter, O., Baldassari, C., White, A.D., Schwaller, P.: Augmenting large language models with chemistry tools. Nature Machine Intelligence
 pp. 1–11 (2024) 1
- 10. Minervini, M., Fischbach, A., Scharr, H., Tsaftaris, S.A.: Finely-grained annotated datasets for image-based plant phenotyping. Pattern recognition letters 81, 80–89 (2016) 1
- Qi, C., Sandroni, M., Westergaard, J.C., Sundmark, E.H.R., Bagge, M., Alexandersson, E., Gao, J.: In-field classification of the asymptomatic biotrophic phase
 of potato late blight based on deep learning and proximal hyperspectral imaging.
 Computers and Electronics in Agriculture 205, 107585 (2023) 1
- 12. Touvron, H., Lavril, T., Izacard, G., Martinet, X., Lachaux, M.A., Lacroix, T.,
 Rozière, B., Goyal, N., Hambro, E., Azhar, F., Rodriguez, A., Joulin, A., Grave,
 E., Lample, G.: Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models (2023),
 https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.13971 1
- 13. Yang, X., Gao, J., Xue, W., Alexandersson, E.: Pllama: An open-source large
 language model for plant science (2024), https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.01600 1
- 09414. Yasrab, R., Atkinson, J.A., Wells, D.M., French, A.P., Pridmore, T.P., Pound,094095M.P.: Rootnav 2.0: Deep learning for automatic navigation of complex plant root095096architectures. GigaScience 8(11), giz123 (2019) 1096